Contingent adaptation in masking and surround suppression

Hörmet Yiltiz[1], David J. Heeger[1,2], Michael S. Landy[1,2]
[1]Department of Psychology, [2]Center for Neural Science, New York University

2018

INTRODUCTION

Hebbian normalization model of adaptation[1]: neurons that fire together more often inhibit each other more.

HYPOTHESIS

Contingent adaptation: presenting stimuli synchronously leads to greater suppression compared to asynchronous presentation.

• Demonstrated in macaque physiology[2].
• Untested in humans.

CONCLUSION

• Contingent adaptation raises detection threshold and changes appearance.
• Supports the Hebbian normalization model.

REFERENCE
1. Westrick et al., J Neurosci, 2016.
2. Aschner et al., SFN, 2016.

CONTACT
hormet.yiltiz@nyu.edu
Available online: http://hyiltiz.com/VSS2018.pdf

DETECTION AND CROSS-ORIENTATION SUPPRESSION

• Adapt: non-contingent or contingent
• Measure: threshold contrast for detecting a masked grating


Which side has an extra grating?

Contingent adaptation results in stronger cross-orientation suppression and thus increased masked detection thresholds.

APPEARANCE AND SURROUND SUPPRESSION

• Adapt: vary center-surround contingency
• Measure: perceived matching contrast

Which center has higher contrast?

The center grating *appears* to have lower contrast when it matches the adapter, thus requires higher *physical* contrast to match the other center grating.